



**FOI Ref: OPCC 43 – 2024**

**Request: Dated 29<sup>th</sup> January 2025**

**Response: Dated 26<sup>th</sup> February 2025**

I can confirm that the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) holds the information requested, as outlined below:

Question:

**UK AI Readiness FOI Request**

We are conducting research into the UK public sector's readiness to harness the opportunities presented by artificial intelligence (AI), particularly in light of the government's AI Opportunities Action Plan.

Our research aims to understand the current state of AI adoption, infrastructure, and skills development within UK public sector departments and agencies. Please answer the following questions and provide additional context where possible?

AI Strategy and Planning

1. To what extent does your department have a clearly defined and documented AI strategy? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. No AI strategy in place.**
- B. Initial discussions or ideas about AI, but no concrete plan.
- C. A basic AI strategy is in development or has been drafted.
- D. A well-defined AI strategy is in place, but implementation is in the early stages.

This is a response under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and disclosed in January 2025

- E. The AI strategy is being actively implemented with measurable progress.
- F. A fully defined and implemented AI strategy is driving significant impact.

2. To what extent does your AI strategy or goals align with the AI opportunities action plan? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. Unaware of the AI opportunities action plan or no attempt to align with it.
- B. General awareness of the AI opportunities action plan, but no specific efforts to align.
- C. Some aspects of the AI strategy or goals are aligned with the AI opportunities action plan.
- D. Conscious effort made to align the AI strategy with the key principles and directions of the AI opportunities action plan.
- E. Strong alignment between the AI strategy or goals and the AI opportunities action plan, with clear connections and shared objectives.
- F. AI strategy or goals are fully integrated with the AI opportunities action plan, contributing directly to its successful implementation.

3. What is the likelihood that your department's AI strategy will be fully implemented within the next two years? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. Significant obstacles and uncertainties make full implementation unlikely in the next two years.
- B. Implementation is in progress but faces challenges that may delay completion.
- C. Moderate confidence in achieving full implementation within the timeframe.
- D. High likelihood of full implementation, with most key elements in place.
- E. Almost certain to achieve full implementation within the next two years.

4. How confident is your department that current AI governance structures are adequate for managing AI risks? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. No AI governance structures in place or serious concerns about their adequacy.
- B. Limited AI governance in place, with significant gaps and areas for improvement.
- C. Moderate confidence in current AI governance, but some areas of uncertainty remain.
- D. Generally confident in the adequacy of AI governance structures to manage most risks.
- E. Strong confidence in AI governance, with robust processes and oversight in place.

This is a response under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and disclosed in January 2025

- F. Extremely confident that AI governance structures can effectively manage all foreseeable AI risks.
5. What percentage of your department's total budget is allocated to AI-related projects this fiscal year? How much is this? £0
6. How does your department or agency assess the economic impact or cost-effectiveness of AI technologies? Please select one of the following options that applies and provide any relevant data or reports on AI's economic benefits.
- A. **No formal assessment:** No systematic approach to evaluating the economic impact of AI.
  - B. **Qualitative assessment:** Relies on anecdotal evidence, case studies, or expert opinions to assess the impact of AI.
  - C. **Quantitative assessment:** Uses metrics, data analysis, and modelling to measure the economic benefits and costs of AI.
  - D. **Comprehensive evaluation:** Includes both qualitative and quantitative assessments, considering a wide range of economic factors and potential impacts.

#### AI Deployment and Use Cases

7. What is your department's current stage of AI deployment? Please select one of the following options that applies.
- A. **No AI use cases.**
  - B. Exploring opportunities
  - C. Planning for at least one AI use case.
  - D. Piloting at least one AI use case.
  - E. At least one AI use case is fully deployed.
  - F. Multiple AI use cases fully deployed.
8. For your most significant AI use case, how confident are you that the expected benefits will be realised? Please select one of the following options that applies. N/A
- A. No clear expectations of benefits or serious doubts about achieving them.
  - B. Some anticipated benefits, but significant uncertainty about their realisation.

This is a response under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and disclosed in January 2025

- C. Moderate confidence in achieving some of the expected benefits.
- D. Confident that most of the expected benefits will be realised.
- E. Strong confidence that the AI use case will deliver significant benefits.
- F. Extremely confident that the AI use case will fully achieve or exceed its intended benefits.

#### Risk Management and Ethics

9. How thoroughly has your department assessed the risks associated with AI deployment? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. No formal risk assessment conducted for AI deployment.
- B. Initial discussions about AI risks, but no systematic assessment.
- C. Some areas of AI risk have been assessed, but gaps remain.
- D. A moderate risk assessment has been conducted, covering key areas.
- E. A comprehensive risk assessment is in place, addressing a wide range of potential risks.
- F. A thorough and ongoing risk assessment process is embedded in AI development and deployment.

10. How confident are you that your department is compliant with relevant data protection laws when using AI? Please select one of the following options that applies. N/A

- A. Significant concerns about compliance with data protection laws.
- B. Limited understanding of data protection requirements for AI.
- C. Some measures in place to ensure compliance, but gaps may exist.
- D. Reasonably confident in compliance with data protection laws.
- E. Strong confidence in data protection compliance, with robust processes in place.
- F. Fully confident in compliance with all relevant data protection laws and regulations.

11. How well does your department ensure transparency in the use of AI in public services? Please select one of the following options that applies. **N/A**
- A. No efforts to ensure transparency in AI use.
  - B. Limited information provided to the public about AI systems.
  - C. Some transparency measures in place, but room for improvement.
  - D. Reasonable transparency in AI use, with public information available.
  - E. Strong commitment to transparency, with clear communication about AI systems.
  - F. Full transparency in AI practices, including open data and explainable AI.

#### Data Residency and Sovereign Infrastructure

12. How does your department or public body ensure that its data storage complies with UK sovereignty and data residency requirements? Please outline any policies or regulations guiding data storage within UK borders. **We ensure that we adhere to the relevant Data Protection and GDPR Legislation and all data is stored on site and thus within the UK**
13. Can you provide the proportion of data stored within UK-based infrastructure versus foreign cloud services (e.g., US-based providers) within your department/agency? **100% within the UK**
14. What measures are in place to ensure that your data storage solutions meet national security standards? Please provide details of relevant frameworks or protocols currently implemented. **We are governed by the National Police Data Security Standards**

#### Energy Consumption and Efficiency of AI

15. What is the estimated carbon footprint of your department or agency's AI operations (e.g., training, deployment) over the past year? Please include energy consumption figures if available. **N/A**
16. How do you track the energy usage of AI workloads, and are there any reporting mechanisms in place for sustainability goals? Please provide relevant metrics or guidelines. **N/A**

## Data and Infrastructure

17. How would you rate the quality of data used for your AI systems? Please select one of the following options that applies. **N/A**

- A. Data quality is poor and unreliable.
- B. Data quality is a concern, with significant issues.
- C. Data quality is adequate but could be improved.
- D. Good data quality with regular validation processes.
- E. High data quality with robust quality assurance measures.
- F. Excellent data quality with continuous validation and improvement.

18. What is the level of confidence in your department's digital infrastructure's ability to support current and future AI initiatives? Please select one of the following options that applies. **We use the digital Infrastructure of Dyfed-Powys Police. Police forces ICT infrastructures have to conform to National Standards, Blueprints, Controls and Accreditation Processes. For AI the force has to conform with the NCSP Artificial Intelligence LLM Cyber Standard v1.1 and also any other guidance that is produced by Policing's National Bodies, e.g. Responsible AI Checklist for Policing, Covenant for Using Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Policing, etc... – this is likely to restrict and ensure the right controls are in place as to how AI can be used safely in a policing context.**

- A. Serious concerns about the infrastructure's ability to support AI.
- B. Infrastructure limitations may hinder AI initiatives.**
- C. Moderate confidence in the infrastructure's capacity for AI.
- D. Confident that the infrastructure can adequately support current and near-term AI needs.
- E. Strong confidence in the infrastructure's ability to support ambitious AI projects.
- F. Extremely confident that the infrastructure is future-proof and ready for any AI challenge.☑

19. Does your department or agency currently use a hybrid infrastructure (i.e., a mix of on-premises and cloud services) for its digital operations, including AI applications? Please specify the proportion of services hosted on each type of infrastructure. **Dyfed-Powys Police is a mix of on-premise, externally hosted and cloud services. M365, MS PowerBI, digital evidence, recruitment Contact Management System etc are cloud hosted services. The main operational**

This is a response under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and disclosed in January 2025

Records Management System (RMS), Finance System and Fleet System are hosted by other forces / Local Authority. The other main systems such as HR and payroll etc... are hosted on-premise

20. What factors influence your department's decision-making process when choosing between public cloud, private cloud, or on-premises infrastructure for AI-related projects or services? The numerous factors and considerations that will need to take place before we adopt any AI solution include ethical, legal - regulatory, information management requirements, access and security requirements and ICT requirements. Each technology would be evaluated against the responsible AI checklist and the need for any data to be held on UK shores and that the technology does not cause data leakage. There is also the need to show that there is no bias in the models affecting decisions, hallucinations providing inaccurate responses, data not being suitably protected and insufficient access controls in place.

21. What plans or initiatives are in place to ensure your department's digital infrastructure is scalable enough to meet future demands for AI-powered services or technologies over the next 5–10 years? Dyfed-Powys Police have an ICT Digital Strategy 2024 - 2030 with a delivery plan attached. Delivering AI over the coming years is mentioned in the strategy and will be looked at on a case by case basis, ensuring the appropriate due diligence is applied as mentioned above. It is clear to see that this technology has a place to play in policing but requires safeguards and education as to how it should be legitimately used in a police context. Any business cases for AI projects will also clearly articulate the financial costs involved, the impact on the infrastructure will be evaluated and costed and would need to go through the various governance channels for approval. When the infrastructure is refreshed the future requirements of the force are considered and factored in.

22. How does your department or agency ensure the quality of data used in AI-driven projects or services? Please provide any metrics, guidelines, or standards employed for data validation. N/A we have no AI-drive projects currently

#### Skills and Training

23. How effectively is your department upskilling employees to use AI? Please select one of the following options that applies.

- A. No upskilling initiatives in place for AI.
- B. Limited training available, with little focus on AI skills development.
- C. Some training programs offered, but not comprehensive.
- D. Effective upskilling programs are in place, providing employees with essential AI skills.
- E. A strong focus on AI skills development, with comprehensive training and support.
- F. A culture of continuous learning and development, ensuring employees are equipped for the AI-powered future.

24. Will you be relying on in-house skills for AI strategy development and implementation or seeking third-party services/consultancy?

#### Future Ambitions

25. How confident are you that your department will reach its AI ambitions? Please select one of the following options that applies. **Difficult to know at this stage.**

- A. Significant doubts about achieving AI ambitions.
- B. Low confidence in reaching AI goals.
- C. Moderate confidence in achieving some AI ambitions.
- D. Confident in achieving most AI goals.
- E. Strong confidence in reaching AI ambitions, with clear plans in place.
- F. Extremely confident in achieving and exceeding AI ambitions.





This is a response under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and disclosed in January 2025